Marcil v. Ngirchokebai, 2 ROP Intrm. 1 (1989) EDWINA MARCIL, Appellee,

V.

DEBORAH NGIRCHOKEBAI, Appellant.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 18-85 Civil Action No. 142-85

Supreme Court, Appellate Division Republic of Palau

Appellate decision

Decided: December 6, 1989

Counsel for Appellant: Moses Uludong, T.C.

Counsel for Appellee: Clara Kalscheur

BEFORE: MAMORU NAKAMURA, Chief Justice; LOREN A. SUTTON, Associate Justice; FREDERICK J. O'BRIEN, Associate Justice Pro Tem.

PER CURIAM:

The Motion to Set Aside the Order of Dismissal entered on February 3, 1989 is denied as untimely filed and as not in the form prescribed. ROP R. App. Pro. 40(a) and (b).

As to the merits, Appellant presents no new information 12 sufficient to convince us that our previous Order was wrong. Counsel are expected to be aware of time limits prescribed by the Rules of court and upon failure to receive notice of a procedural step in the Court's process, here the estimated cost of transcript, within the required time Counsel has a responsibility to determine why such has occurred and to take appropriate steps to remedy the defect if such exists.